

Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Journal of Human Evolution

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhevol

New chronology for the Middle Palaeolithic of the southern Caucasus suggests early demise of Neanderthals in this region

R. Pinhasi^{a,*}, M. Nioradze^b, N. Tushabramishvili^{b,c}, D. Lordkipanidze^b, D. Pleurdeau^d, M.-H. Moncel^d, D.S. Adler^e, C. Stringer^f, T.F.G. Higham^g

^a School of Archaeology, University College Dublin, Ireland

^b Georgian National Museum, 0105 Tbilisi, Georgia

^c Ilia State University, Str. Cholokashvili 3/5, Tbilisi, Georgia

^d Département de Préhistoire du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR 7194 du CNRS, Paris, France

^e University of Connecticut, Department of Anthropology, 354 Mansfield Road, Unit 2176. Storrs, CT 06269-2176, USA

^f The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

^g Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit, RLAHA, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 April 2012

Accepted 17 August 2012

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Radiocarbon

Western Georgia

Sakajia

Ortvala

Bronze Cave

Homo neanderthalensis

ABSTRACT

Neanderthal populations of the southern and northern Caucasus became locally extinct during the Late Pleistocene. The timing of their extinction is key to our understanding of the relationship between Neanderthals and anatomically modern humans (AMH) in Eurasia. Recent re-dating of the end of the Middle Palaeolithic (MP) at Mezmaiskaya Cave, northern Caucasus, and Ortvale Klde, southern Caucasus, suggests that Neanderthals did not survive after 39 ka cal BP (thousands of years ago, calibrated before present). Here we extend the analysis and present a revised regional chronology for MP occupational phases in western Georgia, based on a series of model-based Bayesian analyses of radiocarbon dated bone samples obtained from the caves of Sakajia, Ortvala and Bronze Cave. This allows the establishment of probability intervals for the onset and end of each of the dated levels and for the end of the MP occupation at the three sites.

Our results for Sakajia indicate that the end of the late Middle Palaeolithic (LMP) and start of the Upper Palaeolithic (UP) occurred between 40,200 and 37,140 cal BP. The end of the MP in the neighboring site of Ortvala occurred earlier at 43,540–41,420 cal BP (at 68.2% probability). The dating of MP layers from Bronze Cave confirms that it does not contain LMP phases.

These results imply that Neanderthals did not survive in the southern Caucasus after 37 ka cal BP, supporting a model of Neanderthal extinction around the same period as reported for the northern Caucasus and other regions of Europe. Taken together with previous reports of the earliest UP phases in the region and the lack of archaeological evidence for an in situ transition, these results indicate that AMH arrived in the Caucasus a few millennia after the Neanderthal demise and that the two species probably did not interact.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The Caucasus was a major dispersal corridor that periodically enabled hominin expansions between Anatolia, the Near East, Europe and Central Asia. The Greater Caucasus Range stretches over 1200 km along a southeast–northwest transect between the Black and Caspian Seas and divides the Caucasus into two major geographic units: the southern Caucasus, and the northern

Caucasus. It has been postulated that transgression of the Caspian and Black seas (Kozłowski, 1998) and a glacial system that covered the Greater and Lesser Caucasus mountain ranges (Hublin, 1998) during the last interglacial and last glacial periods (marine isotope stages (MIS) 5–3, 125,000–30,000 years before present [125–30 ka BP]) formed a biogeographic barrier between the southern and northern Caucasus regions. Phylogeographic studies of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences of house mouse (*Mus musculus*: Orth et al., 1996), wild goats (Manceau et al., 1999), and the white-breasted hedgehog (*Erinaceus concolor*: Seddon et al., 2002) confirms genetic differentiation of southern and northern Caucasian populations of these species and supports this claim. It has

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: r.pinhasi@ucc.ie (R. Pinhasi).

been hypothesized that the Greater Caucasus range also divided the southern and northern Caucasus Neanderthals, the former being part of a Near Eastern network, and the latter belonging to an Eastern European network (Adler and Tushabramishvili, 2004; Meignen and Tushabramishvili, 2010). This hypothesis is supported by lithic analyses that document techno-typological similarities between northern Caucasian Neanderthals and those from eastern Europe and the Crimea (Cohen and Stepanchuk, 1999; Golovanova and Doronichev, 2003), and southern Caucasian Neanderthals with those from the Levant and the Zagros regions (Beliaeva and Liubin, 1998; Tushabramishvili et al., 2002, 2007). However, techno-typological differences between Neanderthal populations from the two regions do not by itself indicate demographic isolation of the two populations.

Paleoanthropological and Palaeolithic research has addressed the question of the Neanderthal-anatomically modern human (AMH) interface, and the timing and nature of the Middle–Upper Palaeolithic (MP–UP) transition both in Europe, the Near East and the Caucasus (d’Errico et al., 1998; Hublin, 1998; Adler et al., 2006a, b; Delson and Harvati, 2006; Hublin and Bailey, 2006; Finlayson and Carrión, 2007; Banks et al., 2008). However, to test various models regarding these aspects it is essential to first develop reliable and accurate chronostratigraphic sequences for key sites that span the MP–UP (Jöris et al., 2011) in conjunction with the direct dating of human fossils from relevant contexts. The latter is of particular importance since it is known that there need not be congruence between cultural and biological similarities, as different human species can manufacture similar lithic industries. This has been well documented for example, in the case of the Levant (Shea, 2003). In Europe, a range of lithic industries has been excavated from various Palaeolithic sites and these include the Proto-Aurignacian and other so-called initial Upper Palaeolithic industries that may have been made by AMHs and also may have originated from the Near East (Hoffecker, 2009, 2011). However, confidence in diagnosing the manufacturer is low due to the limited number of associated hominin fossils (mostly isolated teeth). Clearly, the paucity of fossils is a major issue that cannot be overcome in the near future, but should be taken into consideration in cases where key assemblages cannot be attributed with a sufficient level of confidence to a particular hominin species.

In the case of both the northern and southern Caucasus, there is a clear association between LMP assemblages and Neanderthal fossils in all sites from which human remains were recovered. There is, however, no evidence of what may be called ‘transitional lithic industries’ in any of the sites from these regions (Adler et al., 2006b). The archaeological and behavioral records of UP occupational phases in both the northern and southern Caucasus are therefore attributed only to AMH (Adler et al., 2006b; Golovanova et al., 2010a).

Several Neanderthal fossils have been recovered from the sites of Mezmaiskaya, Barakaiskaya, and Monasheskaya in the northern Caucasus. Of these, the only directly dated fossils are Mezmaiskaya 1 from Layer 2B, which has been dated to $29,195 \pm 965$ BP¹ (Ua-14512) (Ovchinnikov et al., 2000). In a more recent study, the Mezmaiskaya 2 Neanderthal infant from the overlying Layer 2 has been directly dated to $39,700 \pm 1100$ BP (Pinhasi et al., 2011), confirming that the date of Mezmaiskaya 1 is an underestimate of its true age. The age of other human fossils, such as those from Monasheskaya and Barakaiskaya, is currently unknown. Attempts made by our team to date these bones failed due to low bone nitrogen yields, between 0.1% and 0.3% for several bone samples of

Monasheskaya, while the Barakaiskaya mandible sample had a nitrogen yield of 0.88% but produced an insufficient collagen yield and was therefore not dateable.

In the southern Caucasus, all known Neanderthal fossils are from MP contexts in sites in western Georgia (Imereti Region). These fossils are fragmentary and lack a clear burial context. With the exception of a partial maxilla from the site of Sakajia (see below), all other remains consist of a few isolated teeth. These come from the sites of Bronze Cave (level 8), Djrchula (level 2), Ortvala (level 3a), and Ortvale Klde (level 9) (Liubin, 1977, 1989; Gabunia et al., 1978; Vekua, 1991; Schwartz and Tattersall, 2002). Recently, a lower right deciduous second molar was recovered from an Upper Palaeolithic sub-layer Vb at Bondi Cave. The anthropological analysis of this tooth (based on external morphology) could not ascertain whether it belonged to a Neanderthal or AMH (Tushabramishvili et al., 2012).

Sites in the Caucasus with MP occupational sequences have yielded a range of diverse Mousterian lithic assemblages. Historically, five major groups of ‘traditions’ have been identified mainly based on typological criteria, and were correlated to different Mousterian groups (Liubin, 1977, 1989; Tushabramishvili, 1978a, b, 1984; Golovanova and Doronichev, 2003): the ‘Tsopi complex’ (Tsopi site), the ‘Tsutskhvati complex’ (Bronze Cave), the ‘Kudaro–Djrchula complex’ (Kudaro 1 and 3, Tsona and Djrchula caves), the ‘Tskhinvali complex’ (sites of Kusreti I–III, Pekvinary), and the ‘Tskhaltsitela complex’ (Ortvala and Sakajia caves) (Golovanova and Doronichev, 2003). During the past 20 years, new studies of assemblages from these sites confirmed this typological diversity and tried to assess whether it reflects regional variation in local resources, local adaptation to different ecotones, climatic variations, or a combination of these elements. In any case, the ability to attempt to test hypotheses and develop new ones in regards to such aspects first requires the refinement of existing chronologies and the new dates from stratigraphic sequences in key sites (Meignen and Tushabramishvili, 2006; Pleurdeau et al., 2007; Tushabramishvili et al., 2007).

Our current archaeological knowledge about the timing of the LMP and EUP in the northern Caucasus is based on >50 radiometric dates from the site of Mezmaiskaya (Golovanova et al., 1998, 1999, 2006, 2010a, b). The timing of the MP–UP transition in Mezmaiskaya was until recently based on six AMS dates for the earliest UP layer (Layer 1C). The age of the latest MP layer (Layer 2) was based on three radiocarbon dates, which fell in the range of 32–35 ka ¹⁴C BP, and electron spin resonance (ESR) dates, which fell in the range of 36.4 and 41.8 ka BP (early uptake) or between 36.9 and 42.3 ka BP (linear uptake) (Golovanova et al., 2010b). While these EUP and LMP ranges overlap, the stratigraphic record of the cave indicates an erosional gap consisting of a sterile layer, 1D, which had been deposited after the formation of Layer 2 and before the formation of Layer 1C. In a recent paper, Pinhasi et al. (2011) systematically dated a series of 16 ultrafiltered bone collagen samples from LMP layers and obtained a direct date for the Mez 2 Neanderthal infant from Layer 2. Bayesian modeling was used to produce a boundary probability distribution function (PDF) corresponding to the end of the LMP. The direct date of the fossil ($39,700 \pm 1100$ ¹⁴C BP) is in good agreement with the PDF, indicating that Neanderthals were not present at Mezmaiskaya Cave after 39 ka cal BP.

Until recently, the chronology of the Middle and Upper Palaeolithic of the southern Caucasus was based mainly on the chronometric record of 76 radiocarbon, thermoluminescence (TL), and ESR determinations from the site of Ortvale Klde (Adler et al., 2008) and 30 radiocarbon dates from the site of Dzudzuana, both located in western Georgia (Bar-Yosef et al., 2006, 2011). However, there are no direct dates for any Neanderthal fossils from this region. Prior to the present study, our knowledge about the timing of the MP in

¹ Radiocarbon ages in this paper are expressed as conventional ages BP, whilst calibrated or calendar ages are expressed as ‘cal BP’.

other cave sites in western Georgia was based on a single non-AMS radiocarbon determination of an animal bone (GIN-6250, $27,000 \pm 800$ BP) from MP Layer 3c in Sakajia (Nioradze, 1991). This determination seems too young and of questionable quality (Pleurdeau et al., 2007).

At Ortvale Klde an occupational hiatus of undetermined length is suspected within the latest MP layer (Layer 5) based on the high frequency, compared with other layers at the site, of artifacts with weathered surfaces (Adler and Tushabramishvili, 2004). Moreover, the analysis of the archaeological and chronometric data suggests a rapid techno-typological change in lithic assemblages, which best agrees with a scenario of a biological replacement of MP Neanderthals by UP AMHs. The age of the boundary between the Middle and Upper Palaeolithic is currently assessed to fall ~ 42.8 ka cal BP_{Hulu} (the calibration, or 'comparison' here was based at the time on the Cariaco Basin record of Huguen et al., 2006 tuned to the timescale of the Chinese Hulu Cave speleothem record of Wang et al., 2001. This has since been superseded by the new IntCal09 calibration curve of Reimer et al., 2009, but we quote the previous 'compared' ages from the publications in this text), with a conservative estimate between 42.8 and 41.6 ka cal BP_{Hulu}. However, the actual dating of the LMP occupation is determined on the basis of two independent dates on a single bone from this layer that yielded a calibrated age of c. 42–43 ka BP (Adler et al., 2008).

Recent results from the nearby site of Bondi Cave have provided some new information about the MP–UP transition in the region. So far, excavations have yielded two cultural complexes, with layer VII (uppermost layer of MP affinities) dated to between 38.7 and 35 ka BP (43–40 ka cal BP_{Hulu}) and layers V to III (UP levels) from 24.6 to 14 ka BP (29–17 ka cal BP_{Hulu}) (Tushabramishvili et al., 2012). These two complexes are separated by Layer VI, which is predominantly sterile (with a few UP lithics that may be derived from the overlying UP Layer V) and contains large collapsed blocks. Its age is currently based on a single determination: 31.3 ka BP (~ 35.4 ka cal BP_{Hulu}). The timing of the end of the MP and of the onset of the UP at this cave is not currently clear. The transition may have been rapid or may have involved a hiatus. Nonetheless, the timing of the LMP occupation at Bondi seems to be within the confidence range of that reported for Ortvale Klde.

These chronometric sequences suggest that Neanderthals may have no longer occupied the southern and northern Caucasus after 37 ka cal BP. However, this claim is currently based on results from only a few sites and as such it cannot account for possible intra-regional variations in the nature and timing of Neanderthal occupation in the Caucasus. In this paper, we provide a new chronology for the MP occupational phases in the caves of Sakajia, Ortvale and Bronze Cave based on fieldwork in western Georgia during 2009 and 2010. The radiometric dates obtained for these sites have been assessed through a series of model-based Bayesian analyses of the

calibrated determinations. This has allowed the establishment of probability intervals for the beginning and end of each of the dated levels and for the end of the MP occupation at the three sites. We then assess the implications of the revised chronology in the context of the timing of the final Neanderthal occupation of Neanderthals in western Georgia, and address the broader implications of these results in the context of Neanderthal extinction and the end of the MP in the Caucasus and neighboring regions.

Materials and methods

All radiocarbon samples were obtained during the 2009 fieldwork season. This involved the following steps:

- (1) The establishment of the original grid for each cave based on datum and marked points in the cave using a Leica Total Station and NewPlot software.
- (2) Cleaning and documentation of key sections in each cave (see below) and recording of topographic lines for all key archaeological stratigraphic units (or layers).
- (3) Sampling of bones and teeth from the cleaned sections that we recorded with the Total Station/New Plot. The sampling strategy aimed at obtaining several samples for each layer with a particular focus on adequate sampling of layers that yielded Neanderthal fossils. We identified the majority of samples as shaft fragments of medium or large ungulates but two of the dated samples may be of *Ursus* sp. (specifically two bones from Ortvale, see Table 2).

A total of 81 bone samples were excavated from the cave sections during the fieldwork season. A quality assessment of the potential collagen yield of each bone was carried out, based on the analysis of percent nitrogen (%N) yield and the C:N atomic ratio of the whole bone. The cut off point for samples that had the potential to yield sufficient collagen was $\%N > \sim 0.7$ (Brock et al., 2010). Samples with lower nitrogen yield tend to have very low to no collagen yields. These bones are often more likely to be affected deleteriously by modern carbon contamination and therefore to produce unreliable radiocarbon determinations (van Klinken, 1999; Brock et al., 2010; Higham et al., 2010, 2011). Bone samples were also taken from a Neanderthal maxilla from Sakajia cave, and a Neanderthal tooth from Ortvale.

Of the total number of samples, only 14 had percent nitrogen yields higher than 0.7 and these were taken to full pretreatment and then AMS dating at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit (ORAU), University of Oxford. In the case of the Neanderthal fossils, %N yields for the two samples were below the established cut-off point for dating ($\%N = 0.02$ for Ortvale and 0.05 for Sakajia) and these were therefore not dated.

Table 1

AMS determinations (in uncalibrated years before present) for layers 1, 2, 3a–3c, Sakajia.

Layer	Period	Unit	OxA	Date uncal.	\pm	Used (mg)	Yield	%Yld	%C	d13C	d15N	CN
1	?	–	7853 ^a	11,700	80	400.00	8.6	2.2	39.9	–21.6	3.8	3.4
1	LUP?	E8-1	22,110	9990	45	756.06	30.5	4.0	44.2	–20.5	6.8	3.1
2	UP	H13-2	22,111	30,460	380	850.18	5.0	0.6	43.9	–18.5	5.2	3.1
3a	MP	I13-6	X-2352-45	34,700	900	601.56	1.8	0.3	44.0	–20.4	3.0	3.3
3a	MP	F13-3	22,112	35,100	600	883.11	33.8	3.8	44.7	–18.7	5.9	3.2
3b	MP	I13-4	22,130	40,200	1200	1016.67	15.8	1.6	43.9	–18.8	5.9	3.1
3b	MP	F13-1	X-2352-46	43,800	3200	931.11	2.6	0.3	43.0	–19.0	5.6	3.3
3b	MP	I13-3	22,131	45,600	2300	608.08	9.8	1.6	45.6	–19.7	1.4	3.2
3c	MP	J14-2	22,132	>45,700		708.36	13.3	1.9	44.8	–20.0	5.7	3.1

OxA-7853 was originally published in (Bronk Ramsey et al., 2002). Layers 3a, 3b, 3c yielded Neanderthal remains (cf. Gabunia and Vekua, 1990).

^a Published in Nioradze and Otte (2000).

Table 2
AMS determinations (in uncalibrated years before present) for layer 3 (Late MP) from Ortvala that overlies layer 3a, which yielded two Neanderthal teeth (cf. Gabunia and Vekua, 1990).

Layer	Period	Unit	OxA	Date uncal.	±	Used (mg)	Yield	%Yld	%C	d13C	d15N	CN
3	MP	I13-6	Oxa-22133 ^a	38,500	1000	578.10	17.6	3.1	44.5	−19.8	2.1	3.3
3	MP	I13-6	Oxa-22134 ^a	38,500	1000	927.56	22.2	2.4	43.0	−19.6	2.1	3.2β
3	MP	F13-3	OxA-X-2352-47	>41,100		564.60	1.8	0.3	42.0	−20.3	1.6	3.3

^a Split sample.

Collagen was extracted using the methods outlined by Bronk Ramsey et al. (2004), Higham et al. (2006a, b) and Brock et al. (2010). A final ultrafiltration step was applied after Brown et al. (1988) and Bronk Ramsey et al. (2004). This method has been shown to improve the reliability of the ages obtained by more effectively removing low-molecular weight contaminants (Higham et al., 2006b). Radiocarbon ages are given as conventional ages BP (Stuiver and Polach, 1977). The ¹⁴C ages have been corrected for laboratory pretreatment background using a bone specific background correction (Wood et al., 2010). All analytical parameters measured were within accepted ranges (see Tables 1–3).

Below we provide the results for each of the sites, including the new uncalibrated radiocarbon determinations as well as all other published radiocarbon determinations for these sites. Bayesian modeling was applied to the sequences from Ortvala and Sakajia, but not to Bronze Cave, as all of the obtained AMS determinations were 'greater than' ages BP, and hence could not be modeled accurately with respect to the calibration curve. Bayesian models were built using OxCal 4.1.5 (Bronk Ramsey 2009a, 2010) and the INTCAL09 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2009). Bayesian modeling allows the relative stratigraphic information which was assessed at the site during the excavation to be modeled within a formal chronometric framework along with the calibrated radiocarbon likelihoods. Posterior probability distributions incorporating an outlier detection analysis method (after Bronk Ramsey, 2009b) were used to assess outliers in the models we ran. In each model, a general t-type outlier model was included, with a prior probability set at 0.05.

New chronometric data for western Georgia

The three cave sites are located in the forested region of western Georgia, close to the foothills of the Greater Caucasus range at an altitude of between 240 and 350 m above sea level (asl) (Fig. 1).

Sakajia

Sakajia Cave is situated in the Imereti Region, about 10 km northeast of Kutaisi at an altitude of 222 m asl 80 m above the left bank of the Tskhaltsitela river. The cave was discovered in 1914 by Rudolph Schmidt and Leon Koslowski and was first excavated by G. Nioradze during 1936 and 1937 (Nioradze, 1937, 1953). These excavations showed the presence of a UP layer but work was stopped during WWII and no results have been published. In 1971, M. Nioradze continued research and between 1974 and 1980, she directed excavations at the site that demonstrated the existence of MP layers (Gabunia et al., 1978; Nioradze, 1994).

Table 3
Stratigraphy and AMS determinations (in uncalibrated years before present) of Middle Palaeolithic layers from Bronze Cave.

Archaeological layer	Lithological Layer	Period	Unit	OxA	Date	Used (mg)	Yield	%Yld	%C	d13C	d15N	CN
MP1	7–16	MP	I10-2	X-2352-44	>44,100	671.41	2.5	0.4	45.1	−19.2	5.6	3.3
MP2	18–19	MP	J15-1	22,107	>48,500	810.90	16.5	2.0	46.5	−19.1	5.4	3.2
MP3	20	MP	—									
MP4	21	MP	K14-1	22,108	>50,000	790.66	6.5	0.8	43.7	−19.0	5.1	3.1
MP5	22–23	MP	K14-5	22,109	>50,000	642.88	10.4	1.6	44.2	−18.1	6.1	3.2

The cave has an oval entrance of 5–6 m width and 7 m height. Ten meters into the cave from the drip line it separates into a 20 m long and a 70 m long galleries (Pleurdeau et al., 2007). Sakajia's stratigraphy consists of a total of seven archaeological layers that were studied and sampled at the inferior section of the longer gallery – with a total thickness of sandy sediments of 4.5 m (Fig. 2). The topmost Layer 1 yielded Bronze Age and Chalcolithic artifacts and overlies Layer 2, which preserved UP artifacts. The stratigraphic sequence contains six MP Mousterian layers (3a–3f), which contained 1500 lithics in total, mainly made on local flint/chert (Sakajia means 'flint place'). The majority of these artifacts (75%) are from layer 3a and 3b and there were only isolated artifacts from the lower layers 3e and 3f (Pleurdeau et al., 2007). Neanderthal remains were recovered from Layer 3a (skull fragments), Layer 3b (a lower molar), and Layer 3d (maxillary fragment with four teeth: upper canine, upper first premolar, upper second premolar and upper first molar) (Gabunia and Vekua, 1990).

At Sakajia, as at Ortvala Cave (Nioradze, 1953, 1992; Pleurdeau et al., 2007), Levallois core technology is clearly identified (the use of both preferential and recurrent methods) and reduction is for the most part oriented toward elongated, blade-like products. Tools are abundant. They are mainly made on blades and include retouched points, simple, convergent, and déjeté side-scrapers, and denticulated and notched tools (Golovanova and Doronichev, 2003).

The uncalibrated AMS determinations and information about the chemistry are provided in Table 1. We performed a sequential model Bayesian analysis on this series and the results are provided in Fig. 3. The boundary distribution for the end of 3a is equivalent to 40,200–37,140 cal BP (68.2% probability), but the range is wide because there appears to be a temporal gap between layers 3a and 3b at the site. Despite this imprecision, the boundary marks the start of the UP at the site.

Ortvala

Ortvala Cave is situated in the Imereti region near the village of Didi Rgani at an altitude of 240 m asl. The cave was excavated by M. Nioradze during 1974–1975 and 1980–1989. The cave at its current entrance is 4 m wide and 2 m high. At 11 m depth from the entrance, the cave divides into two galleries. The right corridor is 3.5 m high, 1.5 m wide and 40 m long, and the left corridor is 3 m high, 1.3 m wide and 20 m long. Our chronometric study focused on the longitudinal section of the right corridor (Fig. 4). Seven archaeological layers have been excavated. Layer 1 of the cave preserved Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age artifacts and pottery. The underlying Layer 2 yielded UP artifacts and bones. The




Figure 1. Location of the three Palaeolithic cave sites, Imereti Region, Republic of Georgia.

underlying layers, 3, 3a–3d contained MP artifacts. Two Neanderthal teeth have been found in Layer 3a. Underlying the MP strata there is a sterile layer (layer 4), which overlies the bedrock. The total thickness of the sediments is between 2 and 2.5 m. Five strata (3–3d) yielded MP lithics. In total, 450 artifacts were reported, but most of them (303 pieces) were found in the lower layers 3c and 3d (Nioradze, 1992). In all the MP layers, production is almost exclusively oriented toward flakes and there are very few blades and points. The few cores are mostly of a Levallois-type flaking surface. Retouched flakes are abundant, and contain retouched points, side-scrapers, and denticulates. These have been attributed by Nioradze (1992) as Levallois, faceted Mousterian, with a Middle to Upper Paleolithic transitional character in the uppermost layers (Golovanova and Doronichev, 2003).

The AMS determinations and information about the chemistry of the dated samples are provided in Table 2.

There are few results that one can include in a Bayesian model, so the model we have produced is very simple and only consists of a single phase. We used the two duplicate results from Layer 3, and excluded the 'greater than' age from the model. The results are provided in Fig. 5. A Neanderthal molar was recovered below layer 3 in level 3a. Modeling results indicate that this molar probably dates prior to 44,580–42,420 cal BP (at 68.2%), this being the boundary for the end of Level 3a and the start of Level 3.

Bronze Cave

Bronze Cave is part of the Tsutskhvat cave complex, which includes five cave sites, and is located 12 km north of Kutaisi in western Georgia at an altitude of 300–350 m asl. All sites were excavated in 1970–1971 and 1974–1978 under the direction of D.

Tushabramishvili of the Georgian National Museum. Four of these sites yielded a very limited number of diagnostic lithic artifacts. Subsequent fieldwork in 1993–1994 and 2003–2004 (directed by N. Tushabramishvili) focused on Bronze Cave, which is the most promising site in the complex in terms of the density of lithics, bone preservation and anthropogenic indicators (combustion areas, bone processing evidence, etc.). The cave has 24 lithological layers that are ~18 m thick in total. Five MP layers were identified that are 6 m thick, spanning 12 lithological layers (Tushabramishvili, 1978a, b). The first MP layer (Layer MP 1) has a thickness of 3 m, which is approximately half of the total MP thickness. Only layers MP2–MP5 are regarded as in situ occupation layers and their thickness varies between 0.5 and 0.8 m (Fig. 6). A total of 12,500 lithics were excavated from layers MP2–MP5. The industry is characterized by a unipolar recurrent reduction sequence (non-laminar), partly by a Levallois core technology (25% of the cores), discoid-type flaking (Pleurdeau et al., 2007), and a predominance of side-scrapers and denticulated/notched tools (26–29%). Retouched points are poorly represented (Golovanova and Doronichev, 2003). The industry has been defined as part of the 'Tsutskhvat Group' (Golovanova and Doronichev, 2003). The high percentage of denticulates in the Tsutskhvat complex lithic assemblages (Tushabramishvili, 1978a, b) is either an indicator of a local tradition (Golovanova and Doronichev, 2003), the products of specific activities or due to taphonomic processes (Pleurdeau et al., 2007). Influences coming from the Zagros area have also been suggested to explain these series, mainly based on the small size of the products. However, more data are necessary before one can favor one of these alternatives.

Radiocarbon results indicate that Layer MP1 is >44,100 BP (OxA-X-2352-44). This date is in agreement with the one for the




Figure 2. Section of Sakajia cave and location of radiometric samples analyzed in this study.

underlying layer MP2, which is $>48,500$ BP (OxA-22107), and $>50,000$ BP AMS determinations for layers MP3 and MP4 (OxA-22108, OxA-22109, respectively). This suggests that all previous determinations from the site are very likely to be underestimates. The MP archaeology of the site is older than $\sim 46,000$ cal BP and therefore more ancient than the other sites we have analyzed. A Neanderthal deciduous molar was recovered from level 8 (MP1) but due to its fragmentary condition it has not been sampled for direct dating.

Discussion

Our aim in this work was to develop reliable chronostratigraphic sequences for MP occupation at the sites of Bronze Cave, Sakajia and Ortvala based on Bayesian modeling of new ultrafiltered radiocarbon determinations. A particular focus has been the direct dating of Neanderthal fossils from these sites (the molar from Ortvala and a maxilla fragment from Sakajia). However, as indicated above, the nitrogen preservation in these fossils indicates that they are unlikely to have enough collagen for reliable radiocarbon

dating. Nonetheless, we were able to date teeth and bone that we obtained from sections at these sites with a particular focus on the dating of layers that yielded Neanderthal fossils. The paucity of available bone samples from these sections did not allow us to focus our dating program on bones that can be linked directly to human activity. The dates therefore provide absolute age intervals for the timing of the formation of these layers, which were formed by both human-related and natural processes. We also concentrated on the dating of the latest MP occupation at each site to derive reliable estimates for the age of the ending of the MP and possible Neanderthal disappearance at each site.

The analyses of radiocarbon dates for LMP occupational phases in Sakajia and Ortvala support a model of a coincident/simultaneous/synchronous Neanderthal disappearance at both sites, although more precision is required to increase confidence. The modeled calibrated chronology for Sakajia indicates the ending of the Late MP (Layer 3a) occurred between 41 and 36.6 ka cal BP (95.4% probability). Further radiometric analysis, utilizing Bayesian sequential modeling and additional radiocarbon determinations from the LMP and EUP levels would be required to provide




Figure 3. Bayesian model for Middle to Upper Palaeolithic levels at the site of Sakajia. OxA-22132 has been left out of the model because it is beyond the range of the calibration curve. There are no significant outliers in the model.

a narrower time interval for the ending of the MP. In the case of Ortvala, the latest Middle Paleolithic occupation level is older than 41.7 ka cal BP.

The MP sequence obtained for layers MP1–MP5 at Bronze Cave indicates that the upper level, MP1, is older than >44,100 ka BP. Adler et al. (2008) obtained eight bone and 12 charcoal samples from Levels MP1–MP3 of the same section in Bronze Cave (Fig. 6). Only the 12 charcoal samples have been processed (Adler et al., 2008) and these did not provide a coherent set of dates (as some determinations for MP1 vary between uncalibrated estimates of ~23 ka BP to ones that suggest an age >45 ka BP). It is possible that the inconsistency in the obtained range was due to sample contamination as these charcoal fragments were not pretreated with the ABOx-SC step (acid-base-oxidation-stepped combustion) (cf. Brock and Higham, 2009; Higham et al., 2009a). However, it is also likely that these inconsistencies reflect the dynamic taphonomic history of the site in which younger, small charcoal fragments are more likely to get into lower layers via bioturbation and

other means (Adler et al., 2008). Our new determinations on selected bone samples provide a chronologically consistent age range. They are also in agreement with Golovanova and Dornichev's (2003) assessment, which is based on lithic typology and suggests that layer MP1–MP5 is older than MP levels 5–7 in Ortvale Klde and Levels 3b and 3c at Sakajia (Golovanova and Dornichev, 2003). This would have formed during MIS 4/early MIS 3 (~76–50 ka BP).

The Bayesian model for Sakajia indicates that the onset of the UP (Layer 2) started between 39.3 and 34.7 ka cal BP (95.4% confidence interval), however, this is currently based on a single radiocarbon date. At Ortvale Klde, the demise of the last Neanderthals and the establishment of modern human populations took place 38–34 ka BP (42–39 ka cal BP) but the onset of the UP is currently based on a single radiocarbon determination from Unit 4d.

The first appearance of modern humans in the region and the earliest stages of the UP are evident from the lowermost UP Layer D at the nearby site of Dzudzuana, which has been radiocarbon dated to c. 34.5–32.2 ka cal BP. The industry of Unit D also resembles the early UP assemblages from Unit 4 at Ortvale Klde and Level 1C at Mezmaiskaya. The latter is radiocarbon dated to c. 38.2–36.8 ka cal BP (Golovanova et al., 2006). These dates, taken together with the lack of evidence for techno-typological continuity, imply that the current data best supports a model of AMH arrival in both the northern and southern Caucasus after the last occupation of these regions by local Neanderthal populations. The lack of evidence for a local MP–UP transition makes this the most plausible scenario.

Recently revised chronologies for the timing of the MP–UP transition in various parts of Europe indicate that previous determinations are often underestimates of the true age (Golovanova et al., 1999; Higham et al., 2009b, 2010, 2011). At present, there are no reliably dated Neanderthal fossils younger than 38 ka BP (~40 ka cal BP; cf. Jöris et al., 2011; Pinhasi et al., 2011). In Europe, directly AMS dated late Neanderthals from MP layer 7a at the Kůlna cave in the Czech Republic, the Neanderthal site in Germany, the cave of El Sidron in Cantabrian Spain, and of Vindija Vi-80 from Layer G3 at Vindija in Croatia, produced ages that are greater than or equal to 38 ka radiocarbon BP. The key site of Spy, in Belgium, has produced two direct AMS dates on Neanderthal bone and teeth that can be refitted to the adult Neanderthals Spy I and II, and date to




Figure 4. Longitudinal section of Ortvala Cave and location of the radiometric samples analyzed in this study.




Figure 5. Bayesian model for Ortvala Cave.

~36,000 BP (41 ka cal BP) (Semal et al., 2009; Pirson et al., 2011). The teeth and bones were found among unsorted fauna and were not affected by the varnish contamination that had been a problem for the majority of the human remains (Pirson et al., 2011). These direct ages are the most recent for any Neanderthals in Europe. Taken together, these results imply that Neanderthals may well not have survived in Europe after ~40 ka cal BP.

Until recently, there were no unambiguous AMH fossils in Europe between 38 and 35 ka BP (43–40 ka cal BP). The earliest reliably dated AMH fossils in Europe had been from Peștera cu Oase in Romania, dated to ~38.9–41.0 ka cal BP (Trinkaus, 2007; Trinkaus and Shang, 2008). However, recently published data have shown that AMH were present in Europe prior to this, from ~45 to 42 ka cal BP. At Kent's Cavern in Britain, a human maxilla (KC4) dates to 44.2–41.5 ka cal BP (Higham et al., 2011) based on the analysis output from a Bayesian model rather than a direct AMS date. In addition, a recent study by Benazzi et al. (2011) showed that the Uluzzian is likely to be an AMH industry based on the reanalysis of the teeth from the site of Cavallo, southern Italy, and dates to ~45–43 ka cal BP (Higham et al., 2009b; Benazzi et al., 2011). Like Kent's Cavern, the date range is also determined using a sequential Bayesian model.

Various theories have been put forward to explain Neanderthal extinction. As pointed out by Banks et al. (2008), debates regarding Neanderthal extinction in Europe have involved a number of issues: (a) relationships between archaeologically-defined cultures and particular human populations (i.e., Neanderthals or AMH), (b) possible interactions between Neanderthals and AMH, (c) mechanisms behind Neanderthal extinction, and (d) the timing of this




Figure 6. Stratigraphic section of Bronze Cave with indication of the locations from which (1) ungulate bone samples (OxA determinations, present study) and (2) charcoal samples (RTT determinations, Adler et al., 2008) were selected.




Figure 7. Calibrated modeled intervals for the end of the Middle Paleolithic at the sites of Mezmaiskaya, Ortvala and Sakajia caves.

population event. As has been discussed above, the relationship between particular archaeologically-defined cultures and human populations requires the association of these technocomplexes with diagnostic fossils. As has been pointed out by Jöris et al. (2011), (see also Hoffecker, 2009) there are still a range of 'transitional' Middle–Upper Palaeolithic technocomplexes (especially in Central Europe) that either have yielded no associated human fossil, or a few isolated bones or teeth that cannot be attributed with certainty to Neanderthals or AMH. A number of mechanisms have been suggested to explain Neanderthal extinction. These include a range of models that emphasize one or more of the following aspects: cultural and technological superiority of AMH, demographic advantages of AMH (longer life span, higher reproductive rate, shorter birth spacing: cf. Harvati, 2007), AMH social networks and advantageous hunting capacities, and other factors that explain Neanderthal extinction as an ecological process (cf. Flores, 1998; Harvati, 2007; Banks et al., 2008; Sørensen, 2011). Others view Neanderthal extinction to be predominantly attributed to changes in climate (Stringer et al., 2003; van Andel and Davies, 2003; Finlayson et al., 2006; Finlayson and Carrión, 2007; Jiménez-Espejo et al., 2007; Tzedakis et al., 2007) or a combination of factors (Stringer, 2012).

At this stage, the extent to which modern humans and Neanderthals in Europe interacted culturally and biologically remains unclear (Hoffecker, 2009). The archaeological record does not provide convincing evidence for interstratifications of Neanderthal and modern human occupation and revised chronological determinations of pendants from Grotte du Renne question the validity of the claim that all of the 'Châtelperronian' symbolic objects were associated with Neanderthals (cf. Zilhao et al., 2006; Higham et al., 2010; and also see Caron et al., 2011 for a different view). Furthermore, the Palaeolithic archaeological record of Europe suggests that during the period of possible Neanderthal-AMH interface, there was a tenfold increase in AMH populations (Mellars and French, 2011). This major demographic increase would have had a major impact on the extent and prevalence of Neanderthal-AMH interface across the continent. It is therefore clear that the question of the Neanderthal-AMH interface in Europe is far from being resolved. Refined chronologies now indicate that: (1) the first appearance of AMH in Europe appears to have substantially predated the demise of the last Neanderthals, and (2) Neanderthal-AMH coexistence in some regions of Europe may have occurred during ~45–40 ka cal BP but not during subsequent millennia as was previously assumed.

Conclusions

Our modeled calibrated chronology for Sakajia indicates that the end of the Late MP (Layer 3a) and the start of the UP (layer 2) occurred between 40,200 and 37,140 cal BP. The modeling of MP layers at Ortvala indicates that the end of the LMP in this site occurred between 43,540 and 41,420 cal BP (at 68.2% probability) and 44,460–35,340 cal BP (at 95.4% probability). Both of these ranges fit well with those for Ortvala Klde (Adler et al., 2008).

The dating of layers MP1, MP2, MP4 and MP5 Bronze Cave (Fig. 7) indicates that these are all older than 44.1 ka BP (date for the uppermost MP layer), and hence this cave does not contain LMP occupational levels, as in the case of Sakajia and Ortvala.

We summarize the results in Fig. 7 and compare them with those obtained for Mezmaiskaya (Pinhasi et al., 2011).

These results refute the previous assumption of late local Neanderthal survival in the Caucasus and the suggestion that this late survival was the reason behind the relatively late AMH colonization of this region (e.g., Hoffecker, 2009). More research is required to refine or challenge these chronologies and Sakajia is, at present, still imprecisely dated. However, at this stage, evidence from both the northern and southern Caucasus best fits with a model of Neanderthal extinction in the region prior to the first arrival of AMH. It also implies that there is no evidence to support the concept of late Neanderthal survival in the Caucasus, suggesting that this region was not a refugium for the last Neanderthals.

Acknowledgments

We thank Beverly Schmidt for her recording and analysis of the Total Station and NewPlot data and to Guy Bar-Oz, University of Haifa, for his assistance with the taxonomy of some of the dated animal bones. This research was funded by Science Foundation of Ireland (SFI) Research Frontiers Program grant (Grant No. 08/RFP/E0B1478).

References

- Adler, D.S., Bar-Oz, G., Belfer-Cohen, A., Bar-Yosef, O., 2006a. Ahead of the game. Middle and Upper Palaeolithic behaviors in the southern Caucasus. *Curr. Anthropol.* 47, 89–118.
- Adler, D.S., Bar-Yosef, O., Belfer-Cohen, A., Tushabramishvili, N., Boaretto, E., Mercier, N., Valladas, H., Rink, W.J., 2008. Dating the demise: Neanderthal extinction and the establishment of modern humans in the southern Caucasus. *J. Hum. Evol.* 55, 817–833.
- Adler, D.S., Belfer-Cohen, A., Bar-Yosef, O., 2006b. Between a rock and a hard place: Neanderthal-modern human interactions in the southern Caucasus. In: Conard, N.J. (Ed.), *Neanderthals and Modern Humans Meet*. Kerns Verlag, Tübingen, pp. 165–187.
- Adler, D.S., Tushabramishvili, N., 2004. Middle Paleolithic patterns of settlement and subsistence in the southern Caucasus. In: Conard, N.J. (Ed.), *Settlement Dynamics of the Middle Paleolithic and Middle Stone Age*, vol. II. Publications in Prehistory, Kerns Verlag, Tübingen, pp. 91–133.
- Banks, W.E., d'Errico, F., Peterson, A.T., Kageyama, M., Sima, A., Sánchez-Goñi, M.-F., 2008. Neanderthal extinction by competitive exclusion. *PLoS One* 3, e3972.
- Bar-Yosef, O., Belfer-Cohen, A., Adler, D.S., 2006. The implications of the Middle-Upper Palaeolithic chronological boundary in the Caucasus to Eurasian prehistory. *Anthropologie* 44, 81–92.
- Bar-Yosef, O., Belfer-Cohen, A., Mesheviliani, T., Jakeli, N., Bar-Oz, G., Boaretto, E., Goldberg, P., Kvavadze, E., Matskevich, Z., 2011. Dzudzuana: an Upper Palaeolithic cave site in the Caucasus foothills (Georgia). *Antiquity* 85, 331–349.
- Beliaeva, E.V., Liubin, V.P., 1998. The Caucasus-Levant-Zagros: possible relations in the Middle Palaeolithic. In: Otte, M. (Ed.), *Anatolian Prehistory at the Crossroads of Two Worlds*, vol. I, pp. 39–55. ERAUL 85, Liège.
- Benazzi, S., Douka, K., Fornai, C., Bauer, C.C., Kullmer, O., Svoboda, J., Pap, I., Mallegni, F., Bayle, P., Coquerelle, M., Condemi, S., Ronchitelli, A., Harvati, K., Weber, G.W., 2011. Early dispersal of modern humans in Europe and implications for Neanderthal behaviour. *Nature* 479, 525–528.
- Brock, F., Higham, T.F.G., 2009. AMS radiocarbon dating of Palaeolithic-aged charcoal from Europe and the Mediterranean rim using ABOx-SC. *Radiocarbon* 51, 839–846.
- Brock, F., Higham, T., Ditchfield, P., Bronk Ramsey, C., 2010. Current pretreatment methods for AMS radiocarbon dating at the Oxford radiocarbon accelerator unit (ORAU). *Radiocarbon* 52, 103–112.
- Bronk Ramsey, C., 2009a. Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. *Radiocarbon* 51, 337–360.
- Bronk Ramsey, C., 2009b. Dealing with outliers and offsets in radiocarbon dating. *Radiocarbon* 51, 1023–1045.
- Bronk Ramsey, C., 2010. OxCal Program v4.1.5. Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford.
- Bronk Ramsey, C., Hedges, R.E.M., Mous, D., Gottang, A., van den Broek, R., 2002. Status of the New AMS at Oxford. Talk Given at the 9th AMS Conference, Nagoya, Japan, September 2002.
- Bronk Ramsey, C., Higham, T., Bowles, T., Hedges, R., 2004. Improvements to the pretreatment of bone at Oxford. *Radiocarbon* 46, 155–163.

- Brown, T.A., Nelson, D.E., Vogel, J.S., Southon, J.R., 1988. Improved collagen extraction by modified longin method. *Radiocarbon* 30, 171–177.
- Caron, F., d'Errico, F., Del Moral, P., Santos, F., Zilhão, J., 2011. The reality of Neanderthal symbolic behavior at the Grotte du Renne, Arcy-sur-Cure, France. *PLoS One* 6, e21545.
- Cohen, V.Y., Stepanchuk, V.N., 1999. Late Middle and early Upper Paleolithic evidence from the east European plain and Caucasus: a new look at variability, interactions, and transition. *J. World Prehist* 13, 265–319.
- d'Errico, F., Zilhão, J., Julien, M., Baffier, D., Pelegrin, J., 1998. Neanderthal acculturation in western Europe? A critical review of the evidence and its interpretation. *Curr. Anthropol.* 39, S1–S44.
- Delson, E., Harvati, K., 2006. Palaeoanthropology: return of the last Neanderthal. *Nature* 443, 762–763.
- Finlayson, C., Carrión, J.S., 2007. Rapid ecological turnover and its impact on Neanderthal and other human populations. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 22, 213–222.
- Finlayson, C., Giles Pacheco, F., Rodríguez-Vidal, J., Fa, D.A., María Gutiérrez Lopez, J., Santiago Perez, A., Finlayson, G., Allue, E., Baena Preysler, J., Cáceres, I., Carrion, J.S., Fernandez Jalvo, Y., Glead-Owen, C.P., Jimenez Espejo, F.J., Lopez, P., Lopez Saez, J.A., Riquelme Cantal, J.A., Sanchez Marco, A., Giles Guzman, F., Brown, K., Fuentes, N., Valarino, C.A., Villalpando, A., Stringer, C.B., Martínez Ruiz, F., Sakamoto, T., 2006. Late survival of Neanderthals at the southernmost extreme of Europe. *Nature* 443, 850–853.
- Flores, J., 1998. A mathematical model for the Neanderthal extinction. *J. Theor. Biol.* 191, 295–298.
- Gabunia, L.K., Nioradze, M.A., Vekua, A.K., 1978. O Musterskom Cheloveke iz Sakajia. *Voprosi Antropologii.* 59, 154–164.
- Gabunia, L.K., Vekua, A., 1990. L'évolution du paléoenvironnement au cours de l'Anthropogène en Géorgie (Transcaucasie). *L'Anthropologie* 94, 643–650.
- Golovanova, L.V., Cleghorn, N.E., Doronichev, V.B., Hoffecker, J.F., Burr, G.S., Sulergizkiy, L.D., 2006. The Early Upper Paleolithic in the northern Caucasus (new data from Mezmaiskaya cave, 1997). *Eurasian Prehist.* 4, 43–78.
- Golovanova, L.V., Doronichev, V.B., 2003. The Middle Paleolithic of the Caucasus. *J. World Prehist.* 17, 71–140.
- Golovanova, L.V., Doronichev, V.B., Cleghorn, N.E., 2010a. The emergence of bone-working and ornamental art in the Caucasian Upper Paleolithic. *Antiquity* 84, 299–320.
- Golovanova, L.V., Doronichev, V.B., Cleghorn, N.E., Koulikova, M.A., Sapelko, T.V., Shackley, M.S., 2010b. Significance of ecological factors in the Middle to Upper Paleolithic transition. *Curr. Anthropol.* 51, 655–691.
- Golovanova, L.V., Hoffecker, J.F., Kharitonov, V.M., Romanova, G.P., 1999. Mezmaiskaya cave: Neanderthal occupation in the northern Caucasus. *Curr. Anthropol.* 40, 77–86.
- Golovanova, L.V., Hoffecker, J.F., Nesmeyanov, S.A., Levkovskaya, G.M., Kharitonov, V.M., 1998. Un site Micoquien Est Européen du Caucase du nord (Résultats préliminaires de l'étude de la grotte Mezmaiskaya, les fouilles des années 1987–1993). *L'Anthropologie* 102, 45–66.
- Harvati, K., 2007. Neanderthals and their contemporaries. In: Henke, W., Tattersall, I. (Eds.), *Handbook of Paleoanthropology: Phylogeny of Hominines*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 1717–1748.
- Higham, T.F.G., Barton, H., Turney, C.S.M., Barker, G., Ramsey, C.B., Brock, F., 2009a. Radiocarbon dating of charcoal from tropical sequences: results from the Niah Great Cave, Sarawak, and their broader implications. *J. Quatern. Sci.* 24, 189–197.
- Higham, T., Brock, F., Peresani, M., Broglio, A., Wood, R., Douka, K., 2009b. Problems with radiocarbon dating the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition in Italy. *Quatern. Sci. Rev.* 28, 1257–1267.
- Higham, T., Compton, T., Stringer, C., Jacobi, R., Shapiro, B., Trinkaus, E., Chandler, B., Groning, F., Collins, C., Hillson, S., O'Higgins, P., FitzGerald, C., Fagan, M., 2011. The earliest evidence for anatomically modern humans in northwestern Europe. *Nature* 479, 521–524.
- Higham, T., Jacobi, R.M., Bronk Ramsey, C., 2006b. AMS radiocarbon dating of ancient bone using ultrafiltration. *Radiocarbon* 48, 179–195.
- Higham, T., Jacobi, R., Julien, M., David, F., Basell, L., Wood, R., Davies, W., Ramsey, C.B., 2010. Chronology of the Grotte du Renne (France) and implications for the context of ornaments and human remains within the Châtelperronian. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 20234–20239.
- Higham, T., Ramsey, C.B., Karavani, A.I., Smith, F.H., Trinkaus, E., 2006a. Revised direct radiocarbon dating of the Vindija G1 Upper Paleolithic Neandertals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103, 553–557.
- Hoffecker, J.F., 2009. The spread of modern humans in Europe. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106, 16040–16045.
- Hoffecker, J.F., 2011. The early upper Paleolithic of eastern Europe reconsidered. *Evol. Anthropol.* 20, 24–39.
- Hublin, J.-J., 1998. Climate changes, paleogeography, and the evolution of Neandertals. In: Akazawa, T., Auki, K., Bar-Yosef, O. (Eds.), *Neandertals and Modern Humans in Western Asia*. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 295–310.
- Hublin, J.-J., Bailey, S.E., 2006. Revisiting the last Neandertals. In: Conard, N.J. (Ed.), *When Neandertals and Modern Humans Met*. Kerns Verlag, Tübingen, pp. 105–128.
- Hughen, K., Southon, J., Lehman, S., Bertrand, C., Turnbull, J., 2006. Marine-derived ¹⁴C calibration and activity record for the past 50,000 years updated from the Cariaco Basin. *Quatern. Sci. Rev.* 25, 3216–3227.
- Jiménez-Espejo, F.J., Martínez-Ruiz, F., Finlayson, C., Paytan, A., Sakamoto, T., Ortega-Huertas, M., Finlayson, G., Iijima, K., Gallego-Torres, D., Fa, D., 2007. Climate forcing and Neanderthal extinction in Southern Iberia: insights from a multi-proxy marine record. *Quatern. Sci. Rev.* 26, 836–852.
- Jöris, O., Street, M., Terberger, T., Weninger, B., 2011. Radiocarbon dating the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition: the demise of the last Neandertals and the first appearance of anatomically modern humans in Europe. In: Condeemi, S., Weniger, G.-C. (Eds.), *Continuity and Discontinuity in the Peopling of Europe: One Hundred Fifty Years of Neanderthal Study*. Springer, New York, pp. 239–298.
- Kozłowski, J.K., 1998. The Middle and the Early Upper Paleolithic around the Black sea. In: Akazawa, T., Auki, K., Bar-Yosef, O. (Eds.), *Neandertals and Modern Humans in Western Asia*. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 461–482.
- Liubin, V.P., 1977. Mustierskie kulturni Kavkaza. *Nauka, Leningrad.*
- Liubin, V.P., 1989. Paleolit Kavkaza. In: Boriskovski, P.I. (Ed.), *Paleolit Kavkaza I Sredney Azii*. Nauka, Leningrad, pp. 9–144.
- Manceau, V., Després, L., Bouvet, J., Taberlet, P., 1999. Systematics of the genus *Capra* inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequence data. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 13, 504–510.
- Meignen, L., Tushabramishvili, N., 2006. Paléolithique moyen laminaire sur les flancs sud du Caucase: productions lithiques et fonctionnement du site de Djruchula (Géorgie). *Paléorient* 32, 81–104.
- Meignen, L., Tushabramishvili, N., 2010. Djruchula cave on the southern slopes of the Great Caucasus: an extension of the near eastern Middle Paleolithic blade phenomenon to the north. *J. Isr. Prehist. Soc.* 40, 35–62.
- Mellars, P., French, J.C., 2011. Tenfold population increase in Western Europe at the Neanderthal-to-modern-human transition. *Science* 333, 623–627.
- Nioradze, G.K., 1937. Die Steinzeit im Kaukasus. Die Werke der staatlichen Universität in Tbilisi 6, 268–284.
- Nioradze, G.K., 1953. Paleolithiceskij celovek v pescere Sakazia (Tbilisi).
- Nioradze, M., 1991. Palaeolithic Cave Sites in the Valley of Tsqaltsitela. Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Georgia, Tbilisi.
- Nioradze, M., 1992. Paleolit Kavkasa I sopedel'nikh Territory [Palaeolithic Cave Sites of the Tskaltsitela River Gorge]. *Metsniereba, Tbilisi.*
- Nioradze, M.A., 1994. Jungpalaolithische Höhlenfundplätze in Westgeorgien. *Prehist. Alpina* 28, 177–198.
- Nioradze, M., Otte, M., 2000. Paléolithique supérieur de Géorgie. *L'Anthropologie* 104, 265–300.
- Orth, A., Lyapunova, E., Kandaurov, A., Boissinot, S., Boursot, P., Vorontsov, N., Bonhomme, F., 1996. L'espèce polytypique *Mus musculus* en Transcaucasie. *C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris* 319, 435–441.
- Ovchinnikov, I.V., Gotheerstrom, A., Romanova, G.P., Kharitonov, V.M., Liden, K., Goodwin, W., 2000. Molecular analysis of Neanderthal DNA from the northern Caucasus. *Nature* 404, 490–493.
- Pinhasi, R., Higham, T.F.G., Golovanova, L.V., Doronichev, V.B., 2011. Revised age of late Neanderthal occupation and the end of the Middle Paleolithic in the northern Caucasus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108, 8611–8616.
- Pirson, S., Flas, D., Abrams, G., Bonjean, D., Court-Picon, M., Di Modica, K., Draily, C., Dambon, F., Haesaerts, P., Miller, R., Rougier, H., Toussaint, M., Semal, P., 2011. Chronostratigraphic context of the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition: recent data from Belgium. *Quatern. Int.* 259, 78–94.
- Pleurdeau, D., Tushabramishvili, N., Nioradze, M., de Lumley, H., Lordkipanidze, D., 2007. Les industries lithiques du Paléolithique moyen de Géorgie. *L'Anthropologie* 111, 400–431.
- Reimer, P.J., Baillie, M.G.L., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Buck, C.E., Burr, G., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Hajdas, I., Heaton, T.J., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kaiser, K.F., Kromer, B., McCormac, F.G., Manning, S.W., Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Southon, J.R., Talamo, S., Turney, C.S.M., van der Plicht, J., Weyhenmeyer, C.E., 2009. Intcal09 and Marine09 radiocarbon age calibration curves, 0–50,000 years cal BP. *Radiocarbon* 51, 1111–1150.
- Schwartz, J.H., Tattersall, I., 2002. The Human Fossil Record. In: *Terminology and Craniodental Morphology of Genus Homo (Europe)*, vol. 1. Wiley-Liss, New York.
- Seddon, J.M., Santucci, F., Reeve, N., Hewitt, G.M., 2002. Caucasus Mountains divide postulated postglacial colonization routes in the white-breasted hedgehog, *Erinaceus concolor*. *J. Evol. Biol.* 15, 463–467.
- Semal, P., Rougier, H., Crevecoeur, I., Jungels, C., Flas, D., Hauzeur, A., Maureille, B., Germonpré, M., Bocherens, H., Pirson, S., Cammaert, L., de Clerck, N., Hambucken, A., Higham, T., Toussaint, M., van der Plicht, J., 2009. New data on the Late Neanderthals: direct dating of the Belgian Spy fossils. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 138, 421–428.
- Shea, J.J., 2003. The Middle Paleolithic of the east Mediterranean Levant. *J. World Prehist* 17, 313–394.
- Sørensen, B., 2011. Demography and the extinction of European Neandertals. *J. Anthropol. Archaeol.* 30, 17–29.
- Stringer, C., 2012. *Lone Survivors: How We Came to Be the Only Humans on Earth*. Henry Holt & Company, New York.
- Stringer, C., Palike, H., van Andel, T.H., Huntley, B., Valdes, P., Allen, J.R.M., 2003. Climatic stress and the extinction of Neandertals. In: van Andel, T., Davies, W. (Eds.), *Neandertals and Modern Humans in the European Landscape during the Last Glaciation*. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge, pp. 233–240.
- Stuiver, M., Polach, H., 1977. Discussion: reporting of ¹⁴C data. *Radiocarbon* 19, 355–363.
- Trinkaus, E., 2007. European early modern humans and the fate of the Neandertals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 104, 7367–7372.
- Trinkaus, E., Shang, H., 2008. Anatomical evidence for the antiquity of human footwear: Tianyuan and Sunghir. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 35, 1928–1933.

- Tushabramishvili, D.M., 1978a. Itogi rabot Tsutskvatskoi I Tsonskoi Arkeologicheskix ekspeditsii za 1976–1977. Saqartvelos sakelmtsifo Muzeumis Arkeologiuriuri Ekspediciebis Krebuli, Tbilisi.
- Tushabramishvili, D.M., 1978b. Arkheologicheskie panyatniki Tsutskhvatskogo mnogoetajnogo peshernogo kompleksa. Metsniereba, Tbilisi.
- Tushabramishvili, D., 1984. Paleolit Gruzii (Palaeolithic of Georgia). *Newsl. Georgian State Mus.* 37B, 5–27.
- Tushabramishvili, N., Adler, D.S., Bar-Yosef, O., Belfer-Cohen, A., 2002. Current Middle and Upper Palaeolithic research in the Transcaucasus. *Antiquity* 76, 927–928.
- Tushabramishvili, N., Pleurdeau, D., Moncel, M.H., Agapishvili, T., Vekua, A., Bukhsianidze, M., Maureille, B., Muskhelishvili, A., Mshvildadze, M., Kapanadze, N., Lordkipanidze, D., 2012. Human remains from a new Upper Pleistocene sequence in Bondi Cave (Western Georgia). *J. Hum. Evol.* 62, 179–185.
- Tushabramishvili, N., Pleurdeau, D., Moncel, M.-H., Mgeladze, A., 2007. Le complexe Djruchula-Koudaro au sud Caucase (Géorgie). *Remarques sur les assemblages lithiques pléistocènes de Koudaro I, Tsota et Djrchula.* *Anthropologie* 45, 1–18.
- Tzedakis, P.C., Hughen, K.A., Cacho, I., Harvati, K., 2007. Placing late Neanderthals in a climatic context. *Nature* 449, 206–208.
- van Andel, T., Davies, W., 2003. Neanderthals and Modern Humans in the European Landscape during the Last Glaciation. McDonald Institute Monographs, Cambridge.
- van Klinken, G.J., 1999. Bone collagen quality indicators for palaeodietary and radiocarbon measurements. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 26, 687–695.
- Vekua, A., 1991. Istoria Jivotnogo Mira (History of the Animal World). In: Maruashvili, L.I. (Ed.), *Gruzia v Antropogene* (Human Evolution in Georgia). Sakartvelo, Tbilisi, pp. 308–381.
- Wang, Y.J., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., An, Z.S., Wu, J.Y., Shen, C.-C., Dorale, J.A., 2001. A high-resolution absolute-dated Late Pleistocene monsoon record from Hulu Cave, China. *Science* 294, 2345–2348.
- Wood, R.E., Bronk Ramsey, C., Higham, T.F.G., 2010. Refining the ultrafiltration bone pretreatment background for radiocarbon dating at ORAU. *Radiocarbon* 52, 600–611.
- Zilhao, J., d'Errico, F., Bordes, J.-G., Lenoble, A., Texier, J.-P., Rigaud, J.-P., 2006. Analysis of Aurignacian interstratification at the Châtelperronian-type site and implications for the behavioral modernity of Neandertals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103, 12643–12648.